

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 56464-00520USPT 5678 10/803,547 03/18/2004 Jeffrey Siegel EXAMINER 23932 7590 12/03/2004 JENKENS & GILCHRIST, PC WILSON, LEE D 1445 ROSS AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 3200** DALLAS, TX 75202 3723

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			h /1 /1
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,547	SIEGEL ET AL.	(
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEE D WILSON	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this comi IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
· _ ·	iis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	ın.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			·
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · · ·		2 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ition No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u></u>	tage
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not receive	red.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/04. 		Patent Application (PTO-1	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/803,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37
 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: The inventors did not sign the declaration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 2-4, 6-7, 18, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following phrases are vague, indefinite, confunsingly, and awkwardly worded:
 - i. "an entire circumference" in claim 2, line 1 an claim 18, lines 1&2. This should be a perimeter because applicant does not disclose a circular shape. This cannot be true for a rectangle.
 - ii. claim 3 states that the projections are on the corner and the invention only discloses them near the corner and not on it.
 - iii. Claim 4 is awkard because if the structure has a specific function why is necessary to say it is inherent.
 - iv. Claim 6 states that the cutting surfaces is pebble but what does this term mean.

 Applicant should say projection, protrusion, and/or knurling. These terms are clear.
 - v. Claim 23 appears to be a method step of making by reciting how the element is made instead of calling out the structure. What exactly is overmolded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/803,547 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1- 2, 4-5, 7, 12-17, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by brooke (2868145).

Brooke discloses a cutting board having a base board (20), a sloping trough slide (fig.3 and element 21) and plastic and wood materials.

5. Claims 1- 12, 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gibson (5527022).

Gibson discloses a cutting board having a base board (12), a sloping trough slide (28) and a rubber material and other flexible materials.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13-16, 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibson (5527022) in view of Landherr (6341770).
 - Gibson discloses the claimed invention except for plastic and a sprout region.
 - c. Landherr discloses a cutting board with plastic and shape having a sprout region (10&17) which is another alterative shape as well as another alternative rectangular shape made out plastic and other materials.
 - d. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified the Gibson device by providing an alternative shape made out of plastic and other materials as taught by Landherr which is another alterative shape as well as another alternative rectangular shape made out plastic and other materials.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,547 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ferguson and Petterson disclose a device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)
at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

November 28, 2004

LEE D. WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER